

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/647,258 08/26/2003		Koichi Nishimura	392.1811	2081	
21171	7590 08/08/2006		EXAMINER		
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			MACKEY, JAMES P		
	SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20005		1722		
			DATE MAILED: 08/08/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action							
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief							

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/647,258	NISHIMURA ET AL.	IURA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit		
James Mackey	1722		

zororo aro ramig or an rappour zoror	Examiner	Artonit	
	James Mackey	1722	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 July 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP 1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or			indonment of
this application, applicant must timely file one of the followance; (2) a Notice a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	stension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply ong r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) a
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complishing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	onsideration and/or search (see NO	, will <u>not</u> be entered b TE below);	ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	• •	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		•	` ,
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro 		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) abjected to page			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> . <u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u>			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
13. Other:		James Mackey	
		James Mackey Primary Examiner	,
		Art Unit: 1722 8/5/06	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 3. NOTE: The change in scope of independent claims 10-11 and of dependent claims 4-9 by the proposed change of "moving platen" to --rear platen-- is a new issue requiring further consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Shima et al. clearly shows wedge-type adjusting mechanisms (at 46, 47) for both the moving platen 7 and the rear/bearing platen 8.